


PETER WANDFLUH KRISTALLANALYSEN



Sonnhaldestrasse 52
6052 Hergiswil
T F 041 630 19 65
wandfluh@luewin.ch

Hergiswil, 17.03.2008

Dear ladies and gentlemen

Our laboratory has a special interest in clean air and healthy water. Thus we developed a method of our own, which enables us to analyse water quality graphically.

Today we would like to explain how we have worked out our recent results concerning healthy water. Without question this is an issue of growing interest among the population. For that very reason this information is meant to educate and help increase the sensibility of the community in terms of the very important fresh water we drink every day.

Fresh water is commonly called the best controlled 'item for consumption' in Europe and one can drink from any of our water taps without hesitation. We Europeans are proud of being able to retrieve such good fresh water, coming close to branded mineral water. Therefore, everything should be fine, one would think.

The first thing we noticed was that despite all the good research results, many people cart loads of mineral water home and prefer it to our good fresh water. Following this we familiarized ourselves with the latest results of modern water research and soon discovered that there are tremendous differences in the water quality after all; much bigger differences than one would expect. Today these are the main arguments used to explain the advantage of optimized fresh water:

- 1.) The data, verified by the authorities, refers nearly exclusively to the bacterial purity of the water only, in order to protect the population from diseases. This purity

would only be achieved by using chemical means such as chlorine dioxide and others. The most important water data such as pH, rH2 and r are tested far too rarely or not at all and are not integrated into the evaluation.

2.) Healthy spring water would therefore show entirely different qualities, which would be so essential for our daily pleasure and health; which are getting rarer and rarer in our waters.

3.) Water would be the most important carrier of information for the cellular communication, metabolism and blood circulation and therefore would need to be cleansed from any negative information before drinking. Works of Masaru Emoto have repeatedly displayed the visible proof for negative and positive information within water.

4.) Our waste water would be increasingly burdened by heavy metals, hormones (estrogens), antirheumatic agents, pain killers and many chemical substances, which would create a chemical mixture impossible to clear by most of the many wastewater treatment plants. The result would be the wrong information in the water and this in turn would increase many widespread diseases varying from allergies to cancer. Homeopathy would prove in detail how powerful the effect of even the smallest amounts of a certain substance can be on the organism – therefore clear water would be essential!

5.) Any fresh water that has been pressed into pipes with high pressure over a longer period of time would lose its natural vitality and therefore could never again be considered good spring water. Anyone, who had the experience of drinking fresh spring water directly from the spring, would know that this experience could cause something which would never be achieved at the water tap in the kitchen.

We had many questions in the beginning, which nobody could really answer for us. For example the question of whether mineral water bottled in PET bottles and charged with carbon dioxide gas would really be better than our tap water. We saw several tools for water treatment, which could be installed into or onto the water pipe, for sale; the advertising arguments for them were often esoteric or pseudoscientific.

Many supporters of one side or the other, whom we questioned, often had prejudiced opinions on their improved water and thus were hardly open for fundamental questions.

Therefore, we decided to compare some of these revitalizing tools for water in tests within our own laboratory to find out if these, partly very expensive, utilities would bring any noticeable benefit at all. We immediately found that there were hardly any scientific tools available in trade to test or measure the vital state of water (the energy level within the water). The only methods being used here or there are luminescence measuring and the method by Kirlian. For these procedures, however, foreign energies (electric high-voltage) are used, which many opponents regard as potential alteration of the test water. Therefore we had to take a different approach and find another way.

Inspired by the Japanese Masaru Emoto and his very beautiful crystal photography, we built several diffusion chambers with a high temperature gradient and created highly interesting water crystals in this way.

It soon became visible to us that bland, tired and stale water is no longer able to create a complete crystal within the climate chamber. We could also soon see how big the differences in the gained water qualities are, which were caused by our tested revitalizing tools.

Of course, our local water is dear to our hearts and thus we tested it first.

In the attachment you can see two pictures which display the difference well: revitalized local water treated with an ELISA-Vitalisator and the same water untreated directly from the tap. The difference in the vitality speaks for itself and is clearly visible to anybody.

Yours Sincerely,

Peter Wandfluh